

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1490 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/899,330 | 07/05/2001 | Jonathan Goering | 4267-14B | 7050 | |
| 20499 | 7590 11/25/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. | | | COLE, ELIZABETH M | | |
| | AVENUE- 101H FL. ζ NY 10151 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| - / / | | | 1771 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Surren | 09/899,330 | GOERING, JONATHAN | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Elizabeth M Cole | 1771 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFn 1; after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANCONFI- | whely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. 0. (26 U.S.C. & 133) | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 O | ctober 2003. | | | | | | |
| ` | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 13-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/899,330

Art Unit: 1771

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/10/03 has been entered.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321® may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10, 13-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of copending

Application/Control Number: 09/899,330

Art Unit: 1771

Application No. 09/749,318 and claims 1-26 of copending application 09/796,942. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are not limited to a fabric or fiber-containing material, while the claims of '318 recite that the fibers are woven and the fibers of '942 are interlocked. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have formed the structure of '318 and '942 using other well known and conventional materials

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

In claim 1 the claimed structure is not clear because it is not clear whether a flat sheet is being claimed, or a sheet which has been folded. In other words, is a foldable but flat sheet being claimed, or is the sheet as folded being claimed. Claim 1 is drawn to a flat sheet, but includes description of folding steps. Also, with regard to claim 5-7, it is not clear how these claims can be drawn to a flat sheet, since they refer to seaming, welding and folding. Claim 1, the independent claim, is drawn to a flat sheet which has a portion wherein the material making up the sheet has been removed. In claims 5-6, the structure claimed is not clear because claim1 does not recite an abutment.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/899,330

Art Unit: 1771

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3,5,6-8, 10, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hurdel, U.S. Patent No. 623,548. Hurdel discloses a sheet material comprising a plurality of removed portions. The portions are removed so as to form first and second edges which are perpendicular to each other. The sheet may be folded so that the first and second edges come into an abutting relationship and the edges may be sealed. See figure 6 and figure 7. The right angles formed adjacent to portion 14 correspond to the claimed removed portions.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by De Winter et al, U.S. Patent No. 3,623,924. De Winter et al discloses a sheet comprising a first portion comprising a sheet material and a second portion wherein the material has been removed. The sheet may comprise a plurality of second portions surrounded by the first portion. See fig 1. The statements regarding how the sheet may be folded are construed as being statements of intended use. Since the De Winter et al material would be capable of performing the intended use, it meets the limitations of the claim.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 11-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c